

Yvan CAPOWIEZ

(INRA Avignon)

and colleagues:

- Chris Moran (univ. Canberra) Alain Pierret (IRD, Laos)
- S. Sammartino and E. Michel (INRA Soil Science Avignon)



radiotracking









Main topic :

Physical effects of earthworms behaviour (burrowing and casting) on the soil functionning

... the most accepted and known effects but rarely quantified due to the lack of adapted tools.

Scientific areas :

- soil ecology (interactions between earthworms)
- agronomy (soil regeneration, water infiltration)
- ecotoxicology (a link between effects at the individual level and soil functions)

METHODS

* Burrowing

- X-ray tomography (snapshots)

- radiotracking (dynamical)
- 2D terraria (easy and cheap)

- X-ray tomography (underground casts)

Simulation

model

* Cast Production

sieving -> a new
biomarker (ecotox.)

Not all these tools are relevant at the field scale...

SCALE : Example 1 (field study)

Can earthworm regenerate compacted soil under natural (crop) conditions (if yes, at which rate ?)





- (Diameter
- = 16 cm
- Height
- = 35 cm)

M+1 (03/2005)

M+8 (11/2005)

CONTROL

COMPACTED





Functional consequences (water infiltration - Beer Kan method)





SCALE : Example 2 (laboratory conditions)

Do burrows of endogeic species influence water infiltration ? (if yes, what are the most effective burrows?)





NB : 2 replicates => 32 soil cores

Step1 :Burrow volume in function of time and ew number



Step 2: Burrow system characterisation and rain simulation -> time for breakthrough of water





Step 3: regression between breakthrough time and the characteristics of the burrow systems in each core



But this is only a correlative approach

To go deeper into processes ...

The core is scanned 20 times during a one-hour rain simulation





Water (50-70%) in macropores



Q1: which macropore are active ? Q2: what kind of flux (laminar, droplets, ...) ?